### Experiments at the International Linear Collider








Daniel Jeans (IPNS/KEK)

ICS2024@APPI February 2024










Dark Ages

## **Electro-weak** transition

Electro-weak physics should contain imprint of physics at much higher energy


# **Electro-weak transition**

Higgs potential changes shape




Higgs particle: excitation of Higgs field

different to all other fundamental particles not "matter", not "force", no spin








why did the transition happen?

how fast did it happen ?

did it cause the universe's anti-matter to disappear ?



Is the Higgs potential as we expect


is our current vacuum really stable ?

might the vacuum in our universe spontaneously decay ?




#### we've observed all particles of the **Standard Model**

...but they describe only a small fraction of our universe




### To be honest, we understand very little !





**Higgs decay branching fractions** 

# as predicted in the **Standard Model**




9

Higgs couples to particles' mass




# Deviations in Higgs couplings from BSM physics



new physics @ TeV-scale  $\rightarrow$  few % deviations




# Deviations in Higgs couplings from BSM physics



Higgs decay mode



 $\rightarrow$  different BSM models give different deviations <sup>11</sup>




precision Higgs measurements  $\rightarrow$  fingerprints of deeper physics Insight through Accelerators.  $\sim 1\%$  precision needed for  $\sim \text{TeV}$  new physics  $\sim 1\%$  precision needed for  $\sim \text{TeV}$  new physics

# "Higgs Factory" based on an electron – positron collider


#### → high precision measurements of Higgs particle and other topics













Insight through Accelerators.

K 202

~1M Higgs bosons







#### proton - proton





protons are composite: quarks and gluons  $\rightarrow$  wide spectrum of q-q , q-g , g-g collision energies

debris from collision of remainder of protons

dominated by "strong force" QCD interactions



Insight through Accelerators


e+ e-


 $\bullet \longrightarrow \bullet \frown \bigcirc$ 

elementary particles: each collision has "fixed" energy


almost no "debris": clean events, easy to analyse


dominated by Electro-Weak interactions




























what energy is needed ?






what energy is needed ?









# why is this not so useful?



hint: Higgs couples to particles' **mass** <sup>25</sup>








Number of Higgs bosons = cross-section \* integrated luminosity = cross-section \* running time \* luminosity

need enough luminosity to get enough Higgs bosons in a reasonable time




**350 fb = 350 x 10^{-15} x 10^{-24} cm<sup>2</sup> = 3.5 x 10^{-37} cm<sup>2</sup>** 

ILC luminosity: 1.35 x 10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>

- $\rightarrow$  4.7 x 10<sup>-3</sup> Higgs s<sup>-1</sup>
- $\rightarrow$  one Higgs every 3~4 minutes
- $\rightarrow$  150k per year (if running continuously)

(after a few years, plan to upgrade luminosity to increase this rate)






N \* N \* ( repetition rate ) \* ( enhancement factor) Luminosity ~ bunch size (vertical) \* bunch size (horizontal)


 $\sim 10^{10}$ Large N Large repetition rate Small bunch size

- ~ 6500 / s
- $\sim 7 \text{ nm}$  (vertical)  $\sim 500 \text{ nm}$  (horizontal)






EK 202



# What is beam polarisation?



mostly positive helicity

mostly negative helicity


if highly relativistic:

#### mostly right-handed

mostly left-handed




#### In the electro-weak interactions, Left and Right-handed fermions are different particles






#### flipping the beam polarisations $\rightarrow$ 4 different experiments!





34




Insight through Accelerators.


EK 202

why increase the energy ?


# Higgs production in electron-positron collisions



EK 2021



add ~500 & ~1000 GeV



#### Circular collider (electron-positron)

Energy loss by synchrotron radiation: power loss ~ E<sup>4</sup> / (m<sup>4</sup> r<sup>2</sup>) E: energy m: particle mass

r: ring radius


 → practically limits the maximum beam energy
 → difficult to increase energy in a ring unless what ?
 Linear Collider

Electrical power  $\sim E^4$ 

Electrical power ~ E

Beam energy limited by tunnel length  $\rightarrow$  "easy" to extend (reusing existing tunnel)






# ILC technology




### Key Technology: Super-Conducting Radio Frequency acceleration



accelerate electrons through 30~35+ million volts every meter








#### Super-Conducting cavities for ILC

Super-conductor  $\rightarrow$  dramatically reduce heating  $\rightarrow$  more efficient






#### Niobium : good superconductor









#### ~7,800 for ILC









Superconducting Test Facility (STF)





cryomodule at FNAL, destined for LCLS-II @ SLAC








### Experiments at ILC






# two international groups developing detectors for ILC



design detectors with unprecedented precision → enable ILC program



#### challenging requirements

to maximise physics harvest

- $\rightarrow$  efficiency, identification, resolution
- → hadronic jet resolution
- $\rightarrow$  angular coverage

#### technological advances


- $\rightarrow$  new technologies
- $\rightarrow$  low power, integrated electronics
- $\rightarrow$  compact devices
- $\rightarrow$  machine learning / AI
- → quantum sensors





# Geant4 simulation in ILD detector






tracking detector

precise momentum of *charged* particles

d p<sub>T</sub> / p<sub>T</sub> ~ 3 x 10<sup>-5</sup> p<sub>T</sub>






electromagnetic calorimeter

reasonable precise measurement of electrons, positrons, photons

dE/E ~ 20% / √E

51




#### hadronic calorimeter


less precise measurement of charged and neutral hadron energies

dE/E ~ 50% / √E


52




# what type of event ??












??





Insight through Accelerators.







 $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- h$  [invisible h decay] @ 250 GeV  $e^+e^- \rightarrow tth [tt \rightarrow 6q, h \rightarrow bb]$ @ 1000 GeV



#### Higgs-strahlung process is particularly powerful

Higgs can be selected by looking only at Z decay products we know initial e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> 4-momentum (at lepton collider) we precisely measure 4-momentum of Z → we can trivially extract 4-momentum of "H" select Higgs events with no decay mode bias (e.g. invisible Higgs)





count total number of produced Higgs events, and extract Higgs mass without looking at Higgs decay products

 $\rightarrow$  not affected by e.g. unexpectedly weird Higgs decays




Insight through Accelerators.

EK 2021



many processes will produce 1 or more W, Z, H

these usually (~70%) decay to  $q\bar{q}$  $\rightarrow$  shower  $\rightarrow$  hadronise  $\rightarrow$  jets






hadronic jet:

#### charged hadrons pions, kaons, protons ...

photons from pi0, eta, ... decays


# neutral hadrons K<sup>0</sup>L, neutrons, ...





### hadronic jet:

charged hadrons pions, kaons, protons ... ave. ~65% of energy photons from pi0, eta, ... decays ave. ~25% of energy neutral hadrons  $K^{0}_{L}$ , neutrons, ... ave. ~10% of energy





how should we measure jet energy ?

# detector performance requirements

track momentum

impact parameter


transparent tracker

jet energy

#### cover all solid angle around collision



#### precision on Higgs boson couplings based on realistic simulation and analysis




arXiv:1903.01629

# ILC facility

unique e<sup>-</sup> & e<sup>+</sup> beams high intensity high energy high quality

→ potential for studies
 beyond Higgs,
 beyond particle
 physics

new ideas welcome !





# Green ILC

**linear accelerator** and **super-conducting technology** were chosen because they minimize energy loss


none the less, ILC operation requires 111 MW (at 250 GeV) assuming current energy mix: 320 kton CO<sub>2</sub> per year


- $\rightarrow$  continue development of energy saving technologies for ILC
- $\rightarrow$  use of waste energy (heat) by local industry
- $\rightarrow$  encourage and prioritize renewable energy sources
- $\rightarrow$  encourage local forestry industry: wooden construction






### proposed Higgs factory projects










# ILC project



Summary of the ILC Advisory Panel's Discussions to Date after Revision (2018)

# ILC is a large project

many skilled human resources extensive production facilities construction 635.0 – 702.8 GJPY annual operation 36.6 – 39.2 GJPY



### $\rightarrow$ a true international project is essential




Insight through Accelerators.

P. Campana	Chair	USA
T. Schoerner-Sadenius	Secretary	Germany
P. Sphicas	[ECFA chair]	CERN Member States
F. Gianotti	[CERN DG]	CERN Member States
B. Heinemann		CERN Member States
L. Merminga	[FNAL director]	USA
S. Dasu		USA
N. Roe		USA
I. Коор		Russia
V. Obraztsov		Russia
Y. Wang	[IHEP director]	China
U. Egged		Other Countries
G. Gil da Silveira		Other Countries
T. Nagoya		Japan
M. Yamauchi	[KEK DG]	Japan
R. Teuscher		Canada
F. Canelli	Chair of the IUPAP C-11 (ex officio)	

#### ILC project promotion in Japan Tohoku ILC Project **Development Center** local government, industry, academia **ICFA** Academia ILC Federation of ILC-Japan International **Diet Members** KEK Development international Universities Team for ILC researchers JAHEP Advanced Accelerator Association industry/academia




from late 1980s/'90s  $\rightarrow$  several linear collider studies JLC, GLC, NLC, TESLA, ...



# candidate ILC site







selected as candidate site by scientists from Japan and abroad



#### International linear collider 国際リニアコライダーを東北に Welcome to the ILC kitakami site!











@Iwate\_ilc
@ichinoseki\_ilc
@Oshu\_ILC
@ILCsupporters
@ilc\_tsushin
@LCNewsLine



# we want --- it !!





# summary



Higgs particle presents a once-per-generation opportunity to look into our universe's beginnings, perhaps its destiny

(most) particle physicists agree it would be great to have a "Higgs Factory" several such projects under consideration

ILC is an ideal facility to enable this study of the Higgs it requires joint effort from the worldwide community: governments, local communities, industries, academia

ILC uses technologies developed around the world technologies have been proven

Hosting ILC in Iwate/Japan/Asia will promote position at the forefront of science, technology, culture, and society through the 21<sup>st</sup> century

